

**I · I · A · C**

**Lesley Rushton**  
**Chair of the Industrial Injuries**  
**Advisory Council**

# Industrial Injuries Scheme

- Provides **non-contributory, no-fault benefits** (IIDB) for disablement because of
  - an accident at work
  - one of 70 + prescribed diseases known to be a risk from certain jobs.
- Only covers **employed earners** i.e., employment under a contract of service or an office holder
- Key feature of IIDB is the **benefit of presumption** – allows decision makers to presume a disease is due to occupation

# Industrial Injuries Advisory Council (IIAC)

- Statutory body established under National Insurance (Industrial Injuries) Act 1946
  - Provides independent advice to Secretary of State for Work & Pensions on the Scheme
  - Non-departmental government body i.e., independent of DWP
  - Membership, usually 17 including the Chair– appointed by SoS
    - Chair (Lesley Rushton)
    - Independent members (11), which includes scientists, occupational health consultants and legal expertise
    - 2 Employers
    - 2 Employees
- Legislation requires equal representation

# What does IIAC do?

- Advises on:
  1. The prescription of occupational diseases
  2. Proposals to draft Regulations for the Industrial Injuries Scheme
  3. Guidance for medical assessors
  4. General issues concerning the working of the scheme
- **No involvement in decision-making or individual claims**
- IIAC has a sub-committee, the Research Working Group (RWG), which meets separately from the full Council to consider the scientific evidence in detail. The Chair will also determine the need for other sub-groups as required by the Council's work programme.
- The Council does not have its own research budget to fund medical and scientific studies (other than limited funding from DWP for the occasional commissioning of reviews).

# How do we choose conditions to evaluate?

- On-going surveillance of new literature, reports, work of other committees, IARC, general press, court cases etc
- Referral from the Secretary of State
- Drawn to attention by MPs, medical professionals, other parties (e.g. union, support groups), individuals
- Public meetings

# Which diseases are prescribed?

- Prescribed diseases (PD) are grouped according to their cause
- All PDs are described by:
  - The name of the disease or injury
  - The type of exposure/typical jobs

<b>A</b>	physical cause	15
<b>B</b>	biological cause	15
<b>C</b>	chemical cause	34
<b>D</b>	any other cause	13

# What evidence is needed to prescribe a disease?

## Scientific

- **Consistent** independent good quality epidemiological evidence that the risk in workers in a certain occupation is much greater than risk to the general population
- **Clearly** defined substance of concern, exposure and job/occupation
- Dose-response that **increases** the disease risk
- Disease **clearly defined** and how to diagnose it

## Practical

- Can be administered effectively by decision makers without epidemiological experience
- Disease and exposures verifiable within scheme
- Disease is a cause of **genuine impairment/disablement**

# How do we collect all the evidence?

- Literature searches by IIAC librarian
- Literature review by IIAC scientific advisers
- Oral and written evidence from:
  - invited experts
  - action groups
  - public
  - academics
  - industry
  - unions



# How does IIAC decide which diseases to recommend for prescription?

- ‘**Straightforward**’ diseases:
  - Only occurs due to particular work (pneumoconiosis in coal miners)
  - Almost always associated with work (mesothelioma in the UK)
  - Specific medical tests showing link with work (occupational asthma/dermatitis)
  - Easily linked to work exposure (certain infections/chemical poisonings).

# How does IIAC decide which diseases to recommend for prescription?

- **Less 'clear-cut' diseases**
  - Common in the wider public with other non-work causes
- For an individual case, no reliable way to test whether occupational or not
- Question in law: 'Is it reasonably certain that work caused the person's disease'?
- IIAC looks for evidence that the disease is:
  - likely to be due to work on the **balance of probabilities** ('more likely than not')

## What do we mean by 'Balance of Probabilities'

If good quality **epidemiological** studies exist then we look to see if the risk of the disease in a particular job or exposure to a hazard is more than **double** the risk than those not exposed.

### What should we do if these studies are limited?

- Limited epidemiological studies of long-term disabling disease with good quality occupational information
- IIAC collects and collates all available qualitative and quantitative evidence on exposure, risks and disease outcomes
- Evaluates the strength and consistency of the information in making a judgement regarding 'more likely than not'.

# Openness and Transparency

- Stakeholder engagement – open public meeting
- Publication of:
  - **Command Papers** – IIAC reports laid before Parliament
  - **Position papers** – deposited in the HoC/HoL libraries
  - **Information Notes** – published online
- Commissioned reviews
- Annual reports
- Proceedings from Public Meetings
- Publication of the minutes and agendas of Council and RWG meetings

# What happens after IIAC make recommendations for prescription?

## DWP prepare submission to Ministers

- Consideration of:
  - Legal implications and Impact
    - Numbers of claims
    - Costs of awards and operational costs
    - Deliverability implications

## If Ministerial approval granted

- Drafting of legislation
- Scrutinised by IIAC
- Laid before Parliament
- Guidance for DWP staff and decision makers updated
- Communication with key stakeholders